

External Examiners' Report

Please note that the completed report form will be made available to students and staff therefore please do not identify individual students or staff by name or candidate number. If you wish to bring to the attention of the University issues pertaining to a confidential matter, please do this separately by contacting the Academic Registrar at the University of Law.

If you are responsible for more than one programme, we request that you use a separate template for each programme as appropriate.

Academic Year covered by report

Katie Akerman

Programme being examined	PgC HE AML
Modules examined	
Date of Report	7 August 2023

I had asked if any penalty was submitted for over-runs on oral presentations and it was explained that this was taken into account in marking. It may be helpful to clarify this to students?

2c: Please comment on each of the following with examples:

- x Whether the assessments (formative and summative) were well-designed, valid and reliable;
- x whether they assessed appropriately the learning outcomes set for the programme;
- x whether they were sufficiently challenging for students in the context of the subject matter and the course.

Type your text here

I welcomed the work-related assessment tasks, the use of learner analytics and the correspondence from Dan throughout the year i.e. information from the SSLC, which was supportive and responsive. Assessment was well-designed and thoughtful, and appropriately tested student achievement of the learning outcomes.

Standard of Student Performance

3. Please comment on the following:

From the student work you sampled, whether the standards of student performance were comparable with similar programmes and subjects in other UK higher education institutions with which you are familiar.

Type your text here

Performance was inline with that elsewhere.

Marking and Moderation

4a: Did you receive:	Y	N
A sufficiently broad sample of scripts across the marking range?	x	
Sufficient time for external moderation?	x	
Data to show whether marking was consistent across marking teams?	x	

